This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/682,116	07/19/2001	Peter Faraday	MCS-034-01	MCS-034-01 9198	
27662 7	590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER		
LYON & HARR, LLP			STORK, KYLE R		
300 ESPLANA OXNARD, CA	DE DRIVE, SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ozivited, Ozi 95050			2178	2178	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be evaluated under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the state of time party be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the state of the provision of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * C) Mone of the provision of th		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$		
Kyle R Stork 2178		09/682,116	FARADAY ET AL.	a d		
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1. Edunations of them may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a right be timely filed after \$50.00 them to make the file of the communication. (reply within the statisticy minimum of thin); (50) days will be considered timely. 1. IN Operato for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory priod will apply and will express (50) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 2. IN Operato for reply is specified the after them from the statistic part of water play and will be pressed by mod Windows (50) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 3. IN Operator to reply with the statistic provided seption of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any communication of the statistic provided seption and the time mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any communication of the statistic provided seption and the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any communication of the seption of the statistic provided seption and the seption of the seption of the seption of timely filed, may reduce any communication of the seption of the	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15(6). In no event, however, may a nophy be timely filled after SX(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for nophy is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SX(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to receive within the set of cardinal point of profy with the statutory minimum of thisty (50) days will be considered timely. If NO period for nophy is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SX(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ANANOPORIC (6/s U.S. 6/s 133). Any reply vectived by the Cflice lister than these months after the mailing date of this communication, errer if simply filled, may reduce any search patient than algorithment. See 77 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 17 July 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are and 10-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) gis/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) gis/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b bis detailed office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * O None of: 1. Cert	. <u></u>	Kyle R Stork	2178			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of tem may be waited under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply sectified above is sets than thing (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thisty (30) days value of the considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. 2, \$133). Alter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. 2, \$133). Alter the proceedable of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication and part of the mailing date of this communication, even if simply filed, may reduce any search patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Blatus 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The oath or declaration is objected to the texaminer. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11) Al b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the Internat	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2001. 2a ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 and 10-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 and 10-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 and 10-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 10-31 is/are rejected. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely, rom the mailing date of this con DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
2al This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status		1			
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) are subjected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	uly 2001.				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Application of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				merits is		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) ② is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) ③ is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8 Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	•				
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				D 4 4044 N		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			i		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	,		
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	,	to have been received				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			cation No			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				3		
	· ·		eived.			
AVOCOMODYCA	Attachment/c)					
	Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date	. 152\		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/23/2002</u> . 6) Other:		, · =	ан пакенк Аррисакіон (РТО	-132)		

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the application filed July 19, 2001 and the Information Disclosure Statement filed January 23, 2002.

2. Claims 1-31 are pending. Claims 1, 23, 29 and 30 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4-5, 18-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 4 the term "in close proximity" in claim 4 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "in close proximity" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is not possible for one of ordinary skill in the art to know how close the indicator must be to the animation event in order to be "in close proximity".

As per claim 5 the applicant discloses "the process of Claim 4 wherein the indicator representing the logical relationship of starting an animation event with a previous animation event is a blank space, and wherein, the indicator representing the logical relationship of starting an animation event after a

Art Unit: 2178

previous animation event is a clock." However, it is not possible for the indicator representing the logical relationship of starting an animation event with a previous animation event to be both a blank space and a clock simultaneously.

5. Claims 18-21 are rejected due to their dependence upon claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Section 2106 of the MPEP states:

(a) Functional Descriptive Material: "Data Structures" Representing Descriptive Material Per Se or Computer Programs Representing Computer Listings Per Se Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Similarly, computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computerreadable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Accordingly, it is important to distinguish claims that define descriptive material per se from claims that define statutory inventions. Computer programs are often recited as part of a claim. Office personnel should determine whether the computer program is being claimed as part of an otherwise statutory manufacture or machine. In such a case, the claim remains statutory irrespective of the fact that a computer program is included in the claim. The same result occurs when a computer program is used in a computerized process where the computer executes the instructions set forth in the computer program. Only when the claimed invention taken as a whole is directed to a mere program listing, i.e., to only its description or expression, is it

descriptive material per se and hence nonstatutory.

Art Unit: 2178

7. Claims 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As per independent claim 30 "a computer-implemented process" is disclosed. This is non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101 because such a claimed process does not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized as designated by the MPEP.

As per dependent claim 31 "the process of Claim 30" is disclosed. This is non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101 for reasons similar to those in above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 1-5, 6, 10-13, 16, and 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Microsoft® PowerPoint® 2000, released in 1999 (Figure 1).

Microsoft® PowerPoint® is a slide show presentation with timing and animation effects. The screenshots provided show product information and the Copyright date along with several slide views, timings, slide show options, and animation effects.

Art Unit: 2178

As per independent claim 1, PowerPoint® discloses a computerimplemented processes for viewing and controlling an animation sequence comprising the process actions of:

- Displaying an event list containing one or more animation events in an ordered sequence (Figures 2-3: Both figures 2 and 3 show a list view.
 Figure 2 shows an outline and figure 3 shows the slides in sequence.)
- An event timeline graphically depicting the timing of the animation event
 can be displayed for each animation event (Figure 3: Figure 3 shows
 timing for the animation of each slide immediately beneath the slide. For
 example, Slide 1 has timing of 13 seconds, while Slide 2 has timing of 27
 seconds, and Slide 3 has timing of 10 seconds.)

As per dependent claim 2, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein:

- A logical relationship is defined between one animation event in the event list and another animation event in the event list (Figures 2-3: Figures 2 and 3 both show the same relationship. Figure 2 shows the relationship from top to bottom, with the topmost item, Slide 1, being first. Figure 3 shows the relationship from left to right, with the leftmost item, Slide 1, being first.)
- The logical relationship is usable in manipulating the ordered sequence (Figures 3-4: Figure 3 shows the original logical relationship of the items, with Slide 1 being first, Slide 2 second, and Slide 3 last. Figure 4 shows the sequence after manipulation of Slide 3. Slide 3 has been moved to

Art Unit: 2178

the first position in the list, yet the logical relationship between the other slides, Slide 1 and Slide 2, has remained constant.)

As per dependent claim 3, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein the logical relationship comprises one of:

- Starting an animation event with a previous event, which causes the animation event to start at the same time as the immediately previous animation event (Mastering Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional Edition, page 343: "However, if you have second- and third-level bullets and choose to introduce text by the first level, the second- and third-level bullets will appear with the first-level bullet they fall under.")
- Starting the animation event after completion of a previous animation
 event, which causes the event to start after completion of an immediately
 previously scheduled event in the ordered sequence (Mastering
 Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional Edition, page 343: "If you wish to
 automate animations so that it proceeds without mouse clicks, choose the
 option to start animation Automatically and set the number of seconds that
 should pass between the end of the previous animation and the beginning
 of the selected animation.")
- Starting the animation event on an input device action, which causes the
 animation event to start after a specific number of input device actions
 have been completed (Mastering Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional
 Edition, page 343: "If you wish to automate animations so that it proceeds
 without mouse clicks, choose the option to start animation Automatically

and set the number of seconds that should pass between the end of the previous animation and the beginning of the selected animation." This section implies that mouse clicks (input device actions) are the general method of causing animation. Furthermore, it is also stated that: introducing text by that level will cause them to appear with separate mouse clicks." This also notes the option of input device actions triggering animation.)

- Starting said animation event after a delay having a specified duration
 Mastering Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional Edition, page 343: "If you wish to automate animations so that it proceeds without mouse clicks, choose the option to start animation Automatically and set the number of seconds that should pass between the end of the previous animation and the beginning of the selected animation.")
- Starting the animation event upon the initiation of another triggering event (Mastering Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional Edition, page 343: "If you wish to automate animations so that it proceeds without mouse clicks, choose the option to start animation Automatically and set the number of seconds that should pass between the end of the previous animation and the beginning of the selected animation." This section implies that mouse clicks (input device actions) are the general method of causing animation. Furthermore, it is also stated that: introducing text by that level will cause them to appear with separate mouse clicks." This also notes the option of input device actions triggering animation.)

As per dependent claim 4, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein an animation event in the event list is associated with an indicator that represents said logical relationship between said animation event and at least one other animation event in the event list and wherein said indicator is displayed in close proximity to the associated animation event (Figure 7: Figure 7 displays an icon directly below each of the slides (this icon is different than the icon displayed below the slides in figures 3-5). This icon represents a transition between events and is displayed in close proximity to the associated animation event.).

As per dependent claim 5, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein the indicator representing the logical relationship of starting an animation event with a previous animation event is a blank space, and wherein, the indicator representing the logical relationship of starting an animation event after a previous animation event is a clock (Figure 7: Here, the logical relationship is a blank space).

As per dependent claim 6, PowerPoint® discloses the process further comprising a graphically-depicted universal timeline that provides a time corresponding to all animation event times in the ordered sequence (Figures 3-5: The figures 3-5 depict a timeline for each slide that corresponds to the animation event times in the ordered sequence.).

As per dependent claim 10, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein the logical relationship between animation events in the event list can be changed by activating a context-sensitive menu (Mastering Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional Edition, page 343, Figure 15.8)

Art Unit: 2178

As per dependent claim 11, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein the logical relationship between animation events in the event list is maintained when the order of an animation event in the event list is changed by selecting and dragging the animation event up or down the event list with a computer input device to change its order in the order sequence (Figures 3-4: It can be seen that the logical relationship between Slide 1 and Slide 2 has been maintained from figure 3 to figure 4 although the relationship between Slide 2 and Slide 3 has changed because Slide 3 was dragged up the list to the beginning.).

As per dependent claim 12, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein an event can be created to repeat itself (Figures 5-6: In figure 5 it is seen that there are two copies of the event named Slide 3. Creation of the duplicate event can be accomplished by either using a cut and paste method provided by PowerPoint®, or by use of the "Insert" menu and option "Duplicate". This causes the event to be displayed twice in the slideshow and accomplishes the feat of repeating itself. Figure 6 shows the option to have a slideshow "Loop continuously until 'Esc'." This causes each of the events within the slideshow to repeated in sequence until a user presses the 'Esc' key.).

As per dependent claim 13, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein the context-sensitive menu contains menu items to allow setting the logical relationship of a selected event to:

 Start with a previous animation event (Figure 12: Introduce text box in the lower right corner)

Art Unit: 2178

 Start after a previous animation event (Figure 11: Start animation box in the lower left, specifically the automatically option)

- Start after a specified number of input device selection actions (Figure 11:
 Start animation box in the lower left, specifically the on mouse click option)
- Start after specified delay (Figure 11: Start animation box in the lower left, specifically the automatically option and the input box to specify a number of seconds)
- Start upon the initiation of a triggering event (Figure 11: Start animation box in the lower left, specifically the on mouse click option)

As per dependent claim 16, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein if a start time, end time or duration of an animation event is changed all events in the ordered sequence will automatically adjust based on the logical relationship between the animation events (Figures 3-4: Figure 3 shows an original listing of the animation events beginning with Slide 1, which has a duration of 13 seconds and starts at the beginning of the slideshow. Figure 4 shows the modified configuration where the logical relationship is different. The first animation event is Slide 3, meaning it will start at the beginning of the slideshow and last for 10 seconds. The other slides will then follow behind automatically.).

As per dependent claim 18, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein the number of indicators associated with an animation event is determined by the width of a display area available for displaying information associated with the event list (Figures 13-14: These two figures show different information based upon the width of the window. In figure 13, the number of animation event in

sequence is not displayed because of the width of the window. However, in figure 14, the number of the animation event is displayed because the window is sufficiently wide to display the information).

As per dependent claim 19, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein the number of indicators associated with each event in the event list displayed is increased when the width of the display is expanded, and the number of indicators associated with each event in the event list displayed is decreased when the width of the display is decreased (Figures 8 and 13-14)

As per dependent claim 20, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein the number of animation events displayed in an event list is determined by the height of a display area available for displaying information associated with the event list (Figures 8-10: Figures 8-10 show the number of animation events that can be displayed when the display area is various sizes. Each figure shows a different amount of information.).

As per dependent claim 21, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein the number of animation events displayed is increased when the height or the display is expanded (Figures 8-9), and the number of animation events displayed is decreased when the height of the display is decreased (Figures 8 and 10).

As per dependent claim 22, PowerPoint® discloses the process wherein the user can use keyboard keys to manipulate events in the event list (PowerPoint® Help: Keys for working with menus; Keys for working with toolbars; Slide show controls: These three sections from the PowerPoint® Help illustrate that all operations can be performed with keyboard keys.).

As per independent claim 23, PowerPoint® discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for controlling and displaying a sequence of events, the computer executable instructions comprising:

- Displaying a list of events in a time ordered sequence in an event list
 (Figures 2-5)
- Defining at least one logical relationship between events (Figures 2-5)
- Using the at least one logical relationship to automatically modify the ordered sequence according to a list of logic constraints if a change is made to one event that affects other events in the event list (Figures 3-4)

As per dependent claim 24, PowerPoint® discloses the computerreadable medium further comprising computer executable instructions comprising displaying an event timeline graphically depicting the timing of the event (Figures 3-5).

As per dependent claim 25, PowerPoint® discloses the computerreadable medium further comprising allowing a user to manipulate the events in the ordered sequence using the event list or the event timeline (Figures 3-4).

As per dependent claim 26, PowerPoint® discloses the computerreadable medium wherein when the logical relationship of one of the events in the event list is changed, the corresponding ordered sequence is changed (Figures 3-4).

As per dependent claim 27, PowerPoint® discloses the computerreadable medium wherein the at least one logical relationship comprises one of:

- Starting an animation event with a previous event, which causes the animation event to start at the same time as the immediately previous animation event (Mastering Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional Edition, page 343: "However, if you have second- and third-level bullets and choose to introduce text by the first level, the second- and third-level bullets will appear with the first-level bullet they fall under.")
- Starting the animation event after completion of a previous animation
 event, which causes the event to start after completion of an immediately
 previously scheduled event in the ordered sequence (Mastering
 Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional Edition, page 343: "If you wish to
 automate animations so that it proceeds without mouse clicks, choose the
 option to start animation Automatically and set the number of seconds that
 should pass between the end of the previous animation and the beginning
 of the selected animation.")
- Starting the animation event on an input device action, which causes the animation event to start after a specific number of input device actions have been completed (Mastering Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional Edition, page 343: "If you wish to automate animations so that it proceeds without mouse clicks, choose the option to start animation Automatically and set the number of seconds that should pass between the end of the previous animation and the beginning of the selected animation." This section implies that mouse clicks (input device actions) are the general method of causing animation. Furthermore, it is also stated that:

introducing text by that level will cause them to appear with separate mouse clicks." This also notes the option of input device actions triggering animation.)

- Starting said animation event after a delay having a specified duration
 Mastering Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional Edition, page 343: "If you
 wish to automate animations so that it proceeds without mouse clicks,
 choose the option to start animation Automatically and set the number of
 seconds that should pass between the end of the previous animation and
 the beginning of the selected animation.")
- Starting the animation event upon the initiation of another triggering event (Mastering Microsoft® Office 2000 Professional Edition, page 343: "If you wish to automate animations so that it proceeds without mouse clicks, choose the option to start animation Automatically and set the number of seconds that should pass between the end of the previous animation and the beginning of the selected animation." This section implies that mouse clicks (input device actions) are the general method of causing animation. Furthermore, it is also stated that: introducing text by that level will cause them to appear with separate mouse clicks." This also notes the option of input device actions triggering animation.)

As per dependent claim 28, PowerPoint® discloses logic constraints comprising at least one of:

 A first event in the ordered sequence is never constrained (Figure 2: The first event can never be constrained since the animation occurs when the

slide show is started. It is impossible to force a first animation event to begin after a second animation event since the second event would then become the first, and therefore unconstrained.)

- An event set to start with a previous animation event is not constrained to another event that is set to start with a previous animation event
- A logical relationship that causes an animation event to start with a
 previous animation event force the corresponding event to default to start
 at the start time of a previous event
- An animation event that is set to start with a previous animation event cannot be retimed to start prior to an animation event that requires that the animation event start after a previous event
- An animation event that is set to start with a previous animation event cannot be set to start prior to an event that is required to start after a previous animation event
- An event preceding an event that is set to start after a previous animation event must start either at the same start time or later
- An event set to start after a previous animation event starts following the longest duration event of any event that is to start with a previous event in the prior block or group, up to the last "after previous" event timeline
- An event that has no duration, is treated as having an end time the same
 as its start time and setting an animation event to start after a previous
 event in this case sets the constraint to the start of the timeline

As per independent claim 29 PowerPoint® discloses a system for displaying and controlling an animation sequence, the system comprising:

- A general purpose computing device (Figure 1, Warning section: This section protects PowerPoint® as a computer program, necessitating a computing device in order for PowerPoint® to be used.)
- A computer program comprising program modules executable by the computing device, wherein the computing device is directed by the program modules of the computer program to:
 - Display an event list containing at least one event in an ordered sequence on a display device (Figures 2-3)
 - Displaying a timeline correlating to the at least one event in the event list (Figures 3-4)
- 10. Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scott et al. (U.S. 5,675,752).

As per independent claim 30, Scott discloses a computer-implemented process for controlling a timeline comprising the process actions of:

- Displaying a scroll bar representing a timeline wherein a control is overlaid on the timeline (Figure 22b, items 2850, 2830, 2832, 2834)
- Controlling the time selected on the timeline by moving the control overlay the desired time (Figure 22b, item 2850; column 26, lines 53-55)

As per dependent claim 31, Scott discloses the process further comprising the actions of automatically displaying at least one event associated with said desired time once the desired time is selected (Figure 22b).

Application/Control Number: 09/682,116 Page 17

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 7-8, 14-15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PowerPoint® and further in view of Scott et al. (U.S. 5,675,752).

As per dependent claim 7, PowerPoint® discloses the limitations similar to those in claim 1, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. PowerPoint® discloses the duration of the event (Figure 3). PowerPoint® fails to disclose an event timeline bar which indicates the animation event's start time, stop time and each event timeline bar being correlated to the universal timeline. However, Scott discloses an event timeline bar which indicates the animation event's start time, stop time, and duration, each event timeline bar being correlated to the universal timeline (Figure 22b, items 2830, 2832, 2834; column 26, lines 52-55; column 3, lines 22-24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have combined the method of PowerPoint® to graphically depict an animation event with Scott's method of synchronizing events with a universal timeline and displaying the event's start time, stop time,

and duration, since it would have allowed a user to graphically view the timing of the events in the sequence in relation to each other.

As per dependent claim 8, PowerPoint® and Scott disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 7, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. PowerPoint® discloses selecting and dragging the entire event timeline bar with an input device to move the event earlier or later in time (Figures 3-4). PowerPoint® further discloses selecting an event with an input device and dragging it to the left to move the start time of the event earlier in time and selecting an event with an input device and dragging it to the right to move the start time of the event later in time (Figures 3-4). However, PowerPoint® fails to disclose a timeline bar. Scott discloses a timeline bar (Figure 22b, item 2850; column 26, lines 52-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have combined the method of PowerPoint® that allows for event to be drug to a new location in the order of animation events with Scott's method of displaying a timeline bar, since it would have allowed a user to visualize the effects of an event movement on the universal timeline.

As per dependent claim 14, PowerPoint® and Scott disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 7, and the same rejection is incorporate herein. PowerPoint® discloses that if the logical relationship between animation events is changed the event timeline bars are automatically redrawn to show the new logical relationship based on a set of logic constraints (Figure 4).

Art Unit: 2178

As per dependent claim 15, PowerPoint® and Scott disclose the limitations similar to those in claim 14, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. PowerPoint® also discloses logic constraints comprising at least one of:

- A first event in the ordered sequence is never constrained (Figure 2: The
 first event can never be constrained since the animation occurs when the
 slide show is started. It is impossible to force a first animation event to
 begin after a second animation event since the second event would then
 become the first, and therefore unconstrained.)
- An event set to start with a previous animation event is not constrained to another event that is set to start with a previous animation event
- A logical relationship that causes an animation event to start with a
 previous animation event force the corresponding event to default to start
 at the start time of a previous event
- An animation event that is set to start with a previous animation event cannot be retimed to start prior to an animation event that requires that the animation event start after a previous event
- An animation event that is set to start with a previous animation event cannot be set to start prior to an event that is required to start after a previous animation event
- An event preceding an event that is set to start after a previous animation event must start either at the same start time or later

Art Unit: 2178

 An event set to start after a previous animation event starts following the longest duration event of any event that is to start with a previous event in the prior block or group, up to the last "after previous" event timeline

An event that has no duration, is treated as having an end time the same
as its start time and setting an animation event to start after a previous
event in this case sets the constraint to the start of the timeline

As per dependent claim 17, PowerPoint® discloses the limitations similar to those in claim 6, and the same rejection is incorporated herein. However, PowerPoint® fails to disclose that when an animation event in the event list is selected with an input device, a corresponding portion of the universal timeline is displayed. However, Scott discloses that when an animation event in the event list is selected with an input device, a corresponding portion of the universal timeline is displayed (Figure 22b, item 2850).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have combined the method of PowerPoint® to display a time corresponding to all animation events in an ordered sequence with Scott's method of displaying the location of the event in the universal timeline when selected, since it would have allowed a user to quickly see the relative position of an event.

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 21

Application/Control Number: 09/682,116

Art Unit: 2178

13. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Freeman et al. (US 5861881): Discloses an interactive presentation with a universal timeline.
 - Amiot et al. (US 5781188): Discloses multimedia clips with a universal timeline.
 - Boezeman et al. (US 5758093): Discloses a sequence editor with a timeline.
 - Boezeman et al. (US 6188396): Discloses synchronizing of multimedia
 with relative, absolute, and event time.
 - Kanno et al. (US 2002/0194216): Discloses a slide show method with browser.
 - Cortright (US 2002/0085034): Discloses a timeline.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyle R Stork whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2178

(703) 605-1203. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on (703) 308-5465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kyle Stork Patent Examiner Art Unit 2178

> STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER